HFC-152a and HFC-134a emission estimates and characterization of CFCs, CFC replacements, and other halogenated solvents measured during the 2008 ARCTAS campaign (CARB phase) over the South Coast Air Basin of California
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Abstract. This work presents results from the NASA Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites (ARCTAS) study. Whole air samples were obtained on board research flights that flew over California during June 2008 and analyzed for selected volatile organic compounds, including several halogenated species. Samples collected over the South Coast Air Basin of California (SoCAB), which includes much of Los Angeles (LA) County, were compared with samples from inflow air masses over the Pacific Ocean. The levels of many halocarbon species were enhanced significantly over the SoCAB, including compounds regulated by the Montreal Protocol and subsequent amendments. Emissions estimates of HFC-152a (1,1-difluoroethane, CH₃CHF₂; 0.82 ± 0.11 Gg) and HFC-134a (1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane, CH₂FCF₃; 1.16 ± 0.22 Gg) in LA County for 2008 were obtained using the observed HFC:carbon monoxide (CO) enhancement ratio. Emission rates also were calculated for the SoCAB (1.60 ± 0.22 Gg yr⁻¹ for HFC-152a and 2.12 ± 0.28 Gg yr⁻¹ for HFC-134a) and then extrapolated to the United States (32 ± 4 Gg yr⁻¹ for HFC-152a and 43 ± 6 Gg yr⁻¹ for HFC-134a) using population data. In addition, emission rates of the two HFCs in LA County and SoCAB were calculated by a second method that utilizes air quality modeling. Emissions estimates obtained using both methods differ by less than 25% for the LA County and less than 45% for the SoCAB.

1 Introduction

Chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) compounds are used in a variety of applications including refrigeration, air conditioning, foam blowing, and as aerosol propellants (Sturrock et al., 2002; McCulloch et al., 2003). The harmful impact of CFCs on stratospheric ozone has led to their phase-out governed by the regulations in the Montreal Protocol and subsequent amendments (UNEP, 2003; WMO, 2007). A phase-out for the production and consumption of CFCs, methyl chloroform (CH₃CCl₃), halons, and carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) was imposed in developed countries in 1996 (although exceptions exist for critical and non-dispersive uses), and a global ban was scheduled for 2010 (2015 for CH₃CCl₃; UNEP, 2003). Methyl chloroform was used as an industrial cleaner and degreaser (McCulloch, 2001), halons were used in fire-fighting (Butler et al., 1998), and CCl₄ was a solvent and chemical intermediate for CFC production (Altshuller, 1976; Sturrock et al., 2002). Other halogenated compounds discussed in this study are used in the industrial sector, mainly as solvents and degreasers (methylene chloride, CH₂Cl₂; chloroform, CHCl₃; trichloroethane, C₂HCl₃; and tetrachloroethene, C₂Cl₄).
Hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs) and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) were introduced as substitutes for CFC compounds. HCFCs are used in air conditioning, refrigeration, and foam plastic applications (McCulloch et al., 2003). They still contribute to the destruction of stratospheric ozone and are considered to be a transitional species; HCFC consumption and production were frozen in developed countries in 1996 and 2004, respectively (2013 for developing countries), and a 100% global phase-out is mandated by 2040 (EC, 2008). HFCs are being introduced as replacement compounds for both CFCs and HCFCs since they do not harm stratospheric ozone. However, HFCs typically exhibit high global warming potentials (GWP) because of their ability to absorb infrared radiation and relatively long lifetimes (~years). The GWPs (100-yr time horizon) for the two HFCs investigated in this study, HFC-134a (1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane, CHF\(_2\)F\(_2\)) and HFC-152a (1,1-difluoroethane, CH\(_3\)CHF\(_2\)), are 1430 and 124, respectively (Forster et al., 2007). The high GWP for HFC-134a has led to the European Union phasing out this species in new vehicles in 2011 with a total ban in 2017 (EP, 2006). HFC-134a is the main refrigerant used in car air conditioners (McCulloch et al., 2003) and is the most abundant HFC in the atmosphere with an estimated background concentration of 43.5 ± 0.2 ppt in 2008 (based on the average of the lowest quartile of the inflow samples from this study, discussed in Sect. 3.1). HFC-152a is used mainly as a foam-blowing agent and in aerosol spray applications, and is a possible alternative to HFC-134a in car air-conditioning systems due to its lower GWP. While background levels of HFC-152a are relatively low, 6.1 ± 0.4 ppt in 2008 (based on the average of the lowest quartile of the inflow samples from this study, discussed in Sect. 3.1), atmospheric levels of this compound are increasing exponentially primarily because of emissions in North America (Stohl et al., 2009, and references therein).

Emissions estimates of halogenated compounds often are obtained through “bottom-up” methods based on production and consumption/usage data. However, the uncertainties of government and industry reports can affect these estimates significantly. Additionally, production data are lacking for species produced by a limited number of manufacturers. This study uses a different approach (i.e., “top-down” methods) to determine emissions estimates using atmospheric measurements.

The NASA Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites (ARCTAS) study was conducted in two flights (ARCTAS-A during April 2008 and ARCTAS-B during June–July 2008) and utilized DC-8, P-3, and B-200 aircrafts. Before the second deployment, four research flights on board the NASA DC-8 aircraft were sponsored by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) in June 2008. These four flights focused on the characterization of the atmosphere over California and conducted many low altitude passes over significant pollution source regions, including the San Joaquin Valley and South Coast Air Basin (SoCAB). The main objectives of the California deployment (ARCTAS-CARB) are the improvement of state emission inventories for greenhouse gases and aerosols, the characterization of offshore pollutants from shipping and natural sources, and the characterization of upwind boundary conditions for modeling local surface ozone and PM\(_{2.5}\). A general overview of the mission design and scientific themes of the ARCTAS and CARB flights are discussed in Jacob et al. (2010) and can be found in the official ARCTAS website (ARCTAS, 2011).

Whole air samples were collected on board the DC-8 and analyzed for selected volatile organic compounds (VOCs). This study focuses on selected halocarbon species collected over the SoCAB, with special attention to the area around Los Angeles (LA). The SoCAB contains the largest urban area in the western United States with a population of over 15 million living in an area that is approximately 160 km long and 100 km wide (SCAQMD, 2008). It includes all of Orange County and the western, urbanized portions of Los Angeles, Riverside, and San Bernardino Counties. The basin forms a low plain flanked by the Pacific Ocean to the west and surrounded on the other sides by mountains which channel and confine air masses.

Although previous studies use top-down methods to estimate HFC emissions (Yokouchi et al., 2006; Greally et al., 2007; Millet et al., 2009), report emissions of HFCs (Reimann et al., 2002, 2004; Buchmann et al., 2003; Maione et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010) and emissions of regulated CFCs and other halogenated compounds in North America (Hurst et al., 2006; Millet et al., 2009; Barletta et al., 2009), this study is the first time that halocarbons from the SoCAB are examined and compared to samples obtained from relatively clean inflow air masses. This work makes an important contribution to understanding the tropospheric composition of a highly urbanized and industrialized region, such as the SoCAB, through the characterization and quantification of several halogenated species. These species include selected greenhouse gases that are used extensively in California (i.e., CFC replacement compounds) and species regulated by the Montreal Protocol and subsequent amendments, including CFCs. Accurate knowledge of halocarbon levels in the atmosphere allows policymakers to assess the effectiveness of mitigation strategies.

Additionally, estimates of the HFC-152a and HFC-134a emission rate from LA County and the SoCAB during 2008 are obtained using two methods: (1) In the “tracer ratio” method, atmospheric measurements of the HFC:carbon monoxide (CO) ratio are combined with the reported CO emission rates for 2008. The HFC-152a and HFC-134a emission rate estimates calculated for the SoCAB are extrapolated to the US using population data; (2) In the “AQM” method, an air quality model of the SoCAB is employed to calculate the emission rate needed to reproduce field measurements obtained during the ARCTAS-CARB campaign. The emission rates used in the model are adjusted incrementally until
the levels from the simulations match the field measurement data.

2 Analysis and distribution of volatile organic compounds

2.1 Collection and analysis of air samples

During the four flights of ARCTAS-CARB a total of 617 whole air samples were collected in 2-L electropolished stainless steel canisters equipped with a Swagelok metal bellows valve on board four flights in a DC-8 aircraft. The canisters were flushed with ultra-high purity (UHP) helium and then evacuated to 10⁻² Torr in a laboratory at the University of California, Irvine (UC Irvine) prior to shipment to the field. Seventeen Torr of degassed ultrapure Milli-Q water was added to the canisters in order to passivate the surface of the internal walls, minimizing the absorbance of selected compounds inside the canisters. During each sampling, which lasts approximately one minute, the canisters were pressurized to 40 psi using a metal double bellows pump. Canisters were filled at 1–3 min intervals during ascents and descents, and every 2–8 min during horizontal flight legs. A maximum of 168 canisters were filled for each flight on the DC-8. After each flight, the canisters were shipped back to the laboratory at UC Irvine and the contents of each flight legs. A maximum of 168 canisters were filled for analysis.

The analytical system used in this study is similar to the system described in Colman et al. (2001). Briefly, 2440 ± 3 cm³ (STP) of an air sample is pre-concentrated in a stainless steel loop filled with glass beads and submerged in liquid nitrogen. The sample is heated to approximately 80 °C and split into six different column/detector combinations housed in three gas chromatographs (GCs) using UHP helium as the carrier gas: (1) DB-1 column (J&W; 60 m, 0.32 mm I.D., 1 μm film thickness) output to a flame ionization detector (FID); (2) DB-5 column (J&W; 30 m, 0.25 mm I.D., 1 μm film thickness) connected in series to a RESTEK 1701 column (5 m, 0.25 mm I.D., 0.5 μm film thickness) and output to an electron capture detector (ECD); (3) RESTEK 1701 column (60 m, 0.25 mm I.D., 0.50 μm film thickness) output to an ECD; (4) PLOT column (J&W GS-Alumina; 30 m, 0.53 mm I.D.) connected in series to a DB-1 column (J&W; 5 m, 0.53 mm I.D., 1.5 μm film thickness) and output to an FID; (5) DB-5ms column (J&W; 60 m, 0.25 mm I.D., 0.5 μm film thickness) output to a nitrogen phosphorus detector (NPD); (6) DB-5ms column (J&W; 60 m, 0.25 mm I.D., 0.5 μm film thickness) output to a quadrupole mass spectrometer detector (MSD, HP 5973). The MSD is set to operate in selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode with one ion chosen to quantitate each compound in order to achieve the maximum selectivity and to avoid potential interferences. All gas chromatographs and detectors used in this study are manufactured by Hewlett Packard.

The precision of the measurements varies by compound and by mixing ratio: 1% for the CFCs and CCl₃F; 2% for the HCFCs; 5% for HFC-134a and CH₂Cl₂; 10% for HFC-152a; 2% for Halon-1211 (Bromochlorodifluoromethane, CBrClF₂), methyl halides, CH₂ClCl₃, C₂Cl₄, and bromoform (CHBr₃). The measurement accuracy also varies by compound: 2% for all CFCs except CFC-114 (1,2-dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane, C₂Cl₂F₄), which is 5%; 10% for the HCFCs, HFC-152a, C₂Cl₄, CH₂Cl₂, methyl iodide (CH₃I) and CHBr₃; 5% for halons, HFC-134a, CH₂CCl₃, CCl₄, methyl chloride (CH₃Cl), and methyl bromide (CH₃Br).

Original standards for HFCs were from Scott-Marrin in the range of 10–300 ppb (nominal). These are checked against a butane/benzene NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) standard with an atomic emission detector. Dynamic dilutions of the high concentration standards and injection of variable volumes of these dilutions provided a calibration curve in the range of ambient concentrations. High pressure tanks were prepared by dilution of the high concentration tanks (also from Scott-Marrin). These tanks were used for more frequent comparisons and then also checked against the high concentration tank by dynamic dilution. After the initial addition of 17 Torr of degassed ultrapure Milli-Q water the air is transferred from the cylinder to an electropolished stainless steel pontoon (34 L) equipped with a Swagelok metal bellows valve. A higher degree of stability inside the electropolished stainless steel pontoon compared to storage in an aluminum cylinder has been determined for higher molecular weight hydrocarbons, alkyl nitrates, sulfur species and some of the halocarbons. During the analysis a working standard is analyzed every eight samples, and a series of different standards, including primary standards, is analyzed once a day.

CO was measured in situ on the DC-8 by a differential absorption CO measurement (DACOM) instrument (Sachse et al., 1987). The HFC:CO ratio, along with CO emissions data, is used to determine the HFC-152a and HFC-134a emission rate in the LA area and SoCAB (see Sect. 3.2.1).

2.2 Distribution of ARCTAS-CARB air samples

The 617 air samples obtained on board four DC-8 flights during the ARCTAS-CARB campaign were collected both over California and off the California coast. An additional 139 air samples were collected over California on board two transit flights from California to Cold Lake, Canada (Flights 16 and 24), where the DC-8 was stationed for the second phase of ARCTAS. The majority of the samples were collected at low altitude during the daytime as illustrated in Fig. 1 and Table 1. Air samples were collected over two main source regions: the San Joaquin Valley (SVJ) and South Coast Air
Table 1. Statistics of air samples collected over California and off the California coast during the four ARCTAS-CARB flights and two transit flights.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flight Date (Flight #)</th>
<th>Number of samples</th>
<th>Altitude Range (km)</th>
<th>Sampling Time Range* **</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/18/2008 (#12)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>0.08–4.5</td>
<td>08:38–14:34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/20/2008 (#13)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.29–6.7</td>
<td>10:43–18:12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/22/2008 (#14)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.08–9.1</td>
<td>08:17–17:19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/24/2008 (#15)</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>0.15–9.1</td>
<td>09:43–17:17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/26/2008 (#16)*</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.32–5.3</td>
<td>07:31–09:29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/13/2008 (#24)*</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.34–4.0</td>
<td>13:10–15:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>756</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes only the samples collected over California;
** Local Time.

Fig. 1. Geographic distribution of samples collected during the four ARCTAS-CARB flights and two transit flights. The samples are color-coded by altitude. The dotted red oval highlights part of the samples collected during Flight 14, which are used to characterize the inflow air.

Fig. 2. Geographic distribution of samples collected over Southern California. The SoCAB is indicated by the solid grey line and samples obtained within the LA area are included within the red dashed line.

In order to establish the contribution from local sources to halocarbon levels measured over the LA area and SoCAB, the inflow concentrations of halocarbon species were determined from a set of 60 air samples collected about 250–450 km offshore during Flight 14 along a south to north leg over the Pacific Ocean (Fig. 1). These samples contained air moving toward California with minimal North American
Table 2. Data from air samples obtained in the inflow air mass, over the LA area, and over the SoCAB during the ARCTAS-CARB flights. Data in parts per trillion by volume, unless otherwise specified (indicated as “ppt” throughout the paper).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inflow (n = 60)</th>
<th>SoCAB (n = 106)</th>
<th>LA area (n = 90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range (Min-Max)</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CFCs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFC-12</td>
<td>520–534</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFC-113</td>
<td>74.4–78.6</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFC-114</td>
<td>15.5–16.5</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HCFCs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCFC-22</td>
<td>186–240</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCFC-142b</td>
<td>18.4–23.7</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCFC-141b</td>
<td>18.5–23.5</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HFCs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFC-134a</td>
<td>43.1–54.0</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFC-152a</td>
<td>5.1–31.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Halons</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-1211</td>
<td>4.15–4.46</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-2402</td>
<td>0.50–0.52</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-1301</td>
<td>3.0–3.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regulated Solvents</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃CCl₃</td>
<td>11.6–12.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCl₄</td>
<td>90.3–93.6</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Solvents</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHCl₃</td>
<td>6.0–15.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₂Cl₂</td>
<td>22.9–61.9</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂HCl₃</td>
<td>0.10–0.61</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂Cl₄</td>
<td>1.4–5.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* number of samples with a mixing ratio ≥ mean of inflow air plus 2σ (see text for explanation).

Influence, but do not represent the regional background as they could be affected by polluted air masses intercepted over the Pacific Ocean. Instead, the ocean air samples characterize the inflow towards California before being impacted by fresh pollution plumes generated within North America.

As expected, the average mixing ratios for the majority of the halogenated compounds in the inflow air were lower than the average levels measured over the LA area and SoCAB. Additionally, the variation in the halocarbon levels was much lower in the inflow samples compared to samples obtained over the mainland (Table 2), which is consistent with the presence of significant land-based emission sources. The fraction of samples collected in the LA area and SoCAB that contained halocarbon mixing ratios higher than the inflow samples was determined by calculating the number of samples with levels exceeding the average inflow mixing ratio plus two standard deviations (2σ). This high-reference level (average inflow plus 2σ) was set to avoid overestimating the halocarbon enhancement in the LA area and SoCAB.

For the CFCs and their replacements, with the exception of CFC-113 (1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, C₂Cl₃F₃) and CFC-114, concentrations exceeding the reference level were observed in a majority (60–80%) of air samples obtained over the LA area and SoCAB (Table 2 and Fig. 3). The CFC-114 mixing ratios measured in these regions were similar to the inflow air masses, with ∼30% of the samples showing enhanced levels. Reduced enhancements also were observed for CFC-113 over the SoCAB (37% of samples with enhanced mixing ratios) but not over the LA area (60% of samples with enhanced mixing ratios). A relatively small fraction of samples with enhanced mixing ratios were observed for halon-2402 (dibromotetrafluoroethane, C₂Br₂F₄; <1% in both regions; Fig. 4a), and CCl₄ (14% and 17% in the LA area and SoCAB, respectively). However, halon-1211 and CH₃CCl₃ levels were elevated in a majority of the collected samples (∼55%; Fig. 4b and c).
Although previous studies reported ongoing emissions of regulated CFCs and other halogenated compounds in North America (Hurst et al., 2006; Barletta et al., 2009; Millet et al., 2009), the consistently enhanced levels measured during the ARCTAS-CARB flights allow a closer identification of one possible source region (i.e., California) and show the presence of such sources during the summer of 2008.

Among the 196 air samples obtained over the SoCAB during the ARCTAS-CARB study a small fraction collected during Flight 12 contained exceptionally high levels of halocarbons (Fig. 5). Three consecutive samples collected during 13:22–13:26 (local time) at an altitude of 0.3 km over the northern area of the Orange County (near +33.8 latitude N, −118.0 longitude W) and five consecutive samples collected during 14:20–14:29 (local time) at an altitude of 0.6–1.0 km over a southwest to northeast leg across the SoCAB contained the highest levels of many halogenated compounds observed during the study. The wind speed range recorded on the DC-8 for these samples was 1–7 m s\(^{-1}\) with winds coming from the west for the first set of three samples and from the west-southwest for the remaining five samples. For example, C\(_2\)Cl\(_4\) mixing ratios were 75–90 ppt in the first set of three samples and 35–156 ppt in the second set of five samples.
compared to $2.9 \pm 1.0$ ppt in the inflow (Fig. 5). The sources responsible for these high emissions are not known, but the locations of these exceptionally high halocarbon mixing ratios are presented here as they may be important sites for future studies.

### 3.2 Regional emission rate estimates for HFC-152a and HFC-134a

Figure 6 shows the mixing ratios of HFC-152a and HFC-134a measured in the SoCAB during the ARCTAS-CARB study (HFC-152a and HFC-134a mixing ratios measured for all the ARCTAS-CARB samples are reported in Supplement Fig. 1). Concentrations of both HFCs over the mainland were much higher than in the inflow air mass, indicating the presence of significant emission sources of HFC-152a and HFC-134a in the LA area and SoCAB. HFC-152a was enhanced by a factor of five over the mainland ($48 \pm 61$ ppt and $43 \pm 40$ ppt in the LA area and SoCAB, respectively) compared to the inflow ($9 \pm 5$ ppt) (Table 2). The HFC-152a inflow level calculated in our study is in excellent agreement with the AGAGE (Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment) measurements at Trinidad Head, California ($8.7 \pm 0.5$ ppt measured by the GC-MS Medusa instrument in June 2008; AGAGE, 2010). Approximately 12% of the samples collected over the LA area and the remaining SoCAB had a mixing ratio higher than 100 ppt, representing about 10 times the mean levels in the inflow air masses. The highest measured mixing ratios were 213, 133, and 127 ppt over the LA area and 370, 279, and 303 ppt for the remaining SoCAB. For HFC-134a, the average mixing ratio over the LA area ($89 \pm 77$ ppt) and SoCAB ($88 \pm 67$ ppt) was approximately twice the average mixing ratio measured in the inflow ($46 \pm 2$ ppt), with a lower absolute enhancement compared to HFC-152a. The number of samples with a mixing ratio higher than 100 ppt (about double the levels in the inflow) over the LA area and the remaining SoCAB were 29% and 23%, respectively.

There is considerable spatial variability of HFC concentrations across the SoCAB. However, elevated levels of both HFC-152a and HFC-134a are observed simultaneously (coefficient of determination of 0.84 in the SoCAB). Therefore, the spatial variability likely is not due to localized point sources since emissions by point sources would selectively enhance only one species. Instead, the presence of stagnant air around the sampling area may be the cause of the observed build-up in trace gases. Air stagnation events in the US are most prevalent in the southern states and during the summertime when the winds are weaker (Wang and Angell, 1999). The wind speed was $4 \pm 3$ m s$^{-1}$ during the time the LA area samples were collected.

It is possible to gain insight into the degree of stagnation and atmospheric processing of an air mass by examining the ratio of hydrocarbon species with common emission sources but different atmospheric lifetimes (Parrish et al., 2007 and references therein). The ethyne:CO ratio is of particular interest because combustion is the main emission source for both species and their removal from the troposphere is controlled primarily by reaction with hydroxyl radicals (OH), with a faster removal rate for ethyne (lifetime of about 2–3 weeks; Xiao et al., 2007) than CO (lifetime of about two...
What is the main objective of this study?

The main objective of this study is to estimate the emission rates of two halocarbons, HFC-152a and HFC-134a, in Los Angeles County, California, using atmospheric measurements of their concentration ratios with CO. The study aims to address the environmental impacts of these refrigerants in mobile air-conditioning systems and to provide a basis for regulatory and policy decisions.

How do the authors calculate the emission rates of HFC-152a and HFC-134a?

The authors use a method involving the measurement of concentration ratios of halocarbons (HFC-152a and HFC-134a) to CO in atmospheric samples. They establish a relationship between the halocarbon:CO ratio and the corresponding emission rate through orthogonal regression analysis. This method allows for the estimation of emission rates from atmospheric measurements, accounting for seasonal variations and the transport of air masses from different regions.

What are some of the challenges and uncertainties in this method?

The method faces several challenges and uncertainties. These include seasonal variability in emission rates, the long residence time of halocarbons in the atmosphere, which can result in misattributing emissions, and the potential for data points to be influenced by emissions from other areas. The authors address these issues by applying seasonality correction factors and using statistical methods to validate the results.

What are the main findings of the study?

The main findings of the study include the estimation of emission rates for HFC-152a and HFC-134a in Los Angeles County, which are found to be 0.09 Gg day\(^{-1}\) for HFC-152a and 0.11 Gg day\(^{-1}\) for HFC-134a. These estimates are based on data collected from 2008 to 2009. The study also identifies the regions and sectors responsible for the emissions and discusses the implications for regulatory and policy actions.

How do the authors validate the emission estimates?

The authors validate the emission estimates through a series of statistical and analytical methods. They use orthogonal regression to establish the relationship between the concentration ratios of halocarbons and CO, which allows for the calculation of emission rates. They also apply seasonality correction factors and use statistical tests to assess the validity of the estimates. The results are compared with other studies to ensure consistency and reliability.

What are the implications of these findings for policy and regulation?

The estimated emission rates of HFC-152a and HFC-134a in Los Angeles County provide valuable information for policymakers and regulatory agencies. These findings can be used to inform decisions on the allocation of resources for emission reduction and to support the implementation of policies aimed at reducing the environmental impact of these refrigerants. The study also highlights the need for continuous monitoring and updated emission estimates to adapt to changing environmental conditions and technological advancements.
The tracer ratio method also is employed to estimate HFC emissions from the SoCAB using the measurements collected within the SoCAB and the 2008 CO emission rate in the SoCAB ($3.1 \times 10^9$ g day$^{-1}$; CARB, 2008). Values of $0.66 \pm 0.07$ mmol mol$^{-1}$ and $0.58 \pm 0.06$ mmol mol$^{-1}$ are observed for the HFC-152a:CO and HFC-134a:CO ratios, respectively (coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.71$ for HFC-152a vs. CO and $R^2 = 0.67$ for HFC-134a vs. CO. Plots are available in Supplement Fig. 2). The HFC emissions calculated for the SoCAB are adjusted to account for seasonal variability using the same scaling factors applied to the LA County emissions. The season-adjusted emission estimates for the SoCAB in 2008 are $1.60 \pm 0.22$ Gg for HFC-152a and $2.12 \pm 0.28$ Gg for HFC-134a. The uncertainty in the HFC emissions reflects the error in the slope calculated from the orthogonal regression between the individual HFC and CO mixing ratios and the uncertainty in the seasonal scaling factors, but not the error in the 2008 CO emissions from the SoCAB as these data are not available from CARB.

Estimates for HFC-152a and HFC-134a emissions in the US are obtained by using population data to extrapolate the emissions estimates from SoCAB. This method assumes that the HFC emissions in the US are proportional to population, an approach used in previous studies (e.g., Millet and Goldstein, 2004; Li et al., 2005; Stohl et al., 2009). Stohl et al. (2009) states that global emissions estimates calculated using population data likely overpredict emissions from developing countries, but this error is reduced when the use of the population data is confined to a single nation. The reliability of the population-based method is evaluated by comparing HFC emissions estimates for the SoCAB obtained using (1) the tracer ratio method and through (2) extrapolation of the LA County estimates to the SoCAB using population data from the United States Census Bureau (USCensus, 2009) and South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD, 2008). The estimates derived from the population-based method ($1.25 \pm 0.16$ Gg yr$^{-1}$ and $1.77 \pm 0.34$ Gg yr$^{-1}$ for HFC-152a and HFC-134a, respectively) are within the stated uncertainties of the estimates obtained using the tracer ratio method ($1.60 \pm 0.22$ Gg yr$^{-1}$ and $2.12 \pm 0.28$ Gg yr$^{-1}$ for HFC-152a and HFC-134a, respectively), although this good agreement likely is due in part to the similar weather and demographics of LA County and the SoCAB.

Using additional population data from the US Census Bureau (USCensus, 2011), this study estimates that the US emitted $32 \pm 4$ Gg of HFC-152a and $43 \pm 6$ Gg of HFC-134a in 2008. The uncertainty accounts for the error in the HFC:CO slope for the SoCAB samples and the error in the seasonal variability correction factor. Regional effects (warmer climates having greater leakage rates than colder climates due to higher temperatures and more frequent MAC usage) also may need to be considered, but are difficult to estimate. Papasavva et al. (2009) predicts that the leakage rate (gram per vehicle) of MAC refrigerants from cars in California will be about 4% higher than the national average in 2017. This result suggests that regional effects may not affect estimates of HFC-134a emissions significantly.

Previous studies calculate lower HFC-152a emission rates for the US. Stohl et al. (2009) estimated that US emissions of HFC-152a in 2005 and 2006 were 10.1 Gg and 12.5 Gg, respectively (~24% increase between the two years). If the US maintained this high annual increase through 2008, Stohl et al.’s data yields 19 Gg in 2008, 40% below the estimate in the present study. An even lower estimate is reported by Millet et al. (2009), with $5.7-9.7$ Gg in 2006. The reasons for the discrepancy between the HFC-152a emissions estimates from previous studies and the current study is not known, but it is possible that the specific source regions investigated in
one or more of the studies are not representative of the overall emissions in the US. It also is possible that seasonal and regional variations play a role in the discrepancies between this study and other estimates. The methodology utilized by Millet et al. (2009) was similar to the tracer ratio method, but they used measurements from the TEXAQS-II study over Southern Texas during September–October 2006. Additionally, Millet et al. (2009) extrapolated HFC-152a emissions to the entire US using national CO emission data, not population data like the present study. Stohl et al. (2009) used a completely different methodology (global backward trajectory modeling) and field measurements from nine locations across the world in deriving their estimate.

It should be noted that the United States generally is regarded as the “largest user” of HFC-152a (Ashford et al., 2004). Although much of the future growth in HFC-152a use is expected to come from East Asia, Stohl et al. (2010) estimate that the contribution of that region to the global HFC-152a emissions was only about 10% (~7% from China) in 2008. Greally et al. (2007) estimated that global HFC-152a emissions increased at an average rate of ∼14% per year during 1994–2004, reaching 28 ± 4 Gg in 2004. If global HFC-152a emissions continued to increase at the same annual rate through 2008, Greally et al.’s data yields global emissions of 36 Gg in 2006 and 47 Gg in 2008. The extrapolated emission estimate for 2006 agrees well with the global emission estimate of 37 Gg yr⁻¹ obtained by Stohl et al. (2009) for the years 2005–2006. The US emissions estimate of HFC-152a from this study (32 Gg in 2008) is consistent with the US being the world’s largest user of HFC-152a. Conversely, the US emission rate estimates from Stohl et al. (2009) and Millet et al. (2009) are less than 50% of the total global emissions calculated by Greally et al. (2007) and Stohl et al. (2009).

The HFC-134a emissions estimates for US derived in this study (43 Gg in 2008) generally agree well with other studies. Manning and Weiss (2007) estimate the US emitted 43 Gg (22–60 Gg range) in 2006. In that study, HFC-134a emissions for the western US were determined by running backward simulations of a Lagrangian atmospheric dispersion model and using ground-based observations at the AGAGE measurement station at Trinidad Head on the Northern California coast. The emission rate for the western US was extrapolated to the entire US using population data. Stohl et al. (2009) report US emissions of 35 Gg in 2005, while Millet et al. (2009) report emissions of 12–39 Gg yr⁻¹ during 2004–2006. Keeping in mind the present study estimates emissions from 2008 and that the demand in HFCs in the US is projected to increase by about 7% per year from 2008 to 2020 (Velders et al., 2009), all of these studies agree with each other within the margin of error.

### 3.2.2 Emission rate estimates from the “AQM” method

This study utilizes a second method to obtain emissions estimates of HFC-152a and HFC-134a in LA County and the SoCAB during 2008 using an air quality model to reproduce field measurements obtained during ARCTAS-CARB flights. In the model it is assumed that HFC-152a and HFC-134a are emitted at a constant rate from urban areas across the SoCAB. The emission rates are incrementally adjusted until the average mixing ratio from the simulations matches the average mixing ratio from field measurements.

Air quality simulations were performed using the UCI-CIT Air Quality Model, a three-dimensional Eulerian urban photochemical model designed to study the dynamics of pollutant transformation and transport in the SoCAB of California (Griffin et al., 2002a, b). The UCI-CIT model uses a horizontal 80 × 30 rectangular grid with five vertical layers, the highest layer extending to 1100 m. Each grid cell corresponds to a 5 km × 5 km region.

The predicted concentrations of HFC-152a and HFC-134a were determined primarily by the emission rates and meteorology. Although these two HFC species react with OH, loss due to chemical reaction and deposition is insignificant compared to loss from transport (Forster et al., 2007). Since a complete meteorological data set was not available for the June 2008 field campaign, multiple simulations were conducted using meteorological data sets from field campaigns that occurred in the SoCAB during August 27-28, 1987 (Meng et al., 1998), 8–9 September 1993 (Griffin et al., 2002b), and 18–19 October 1995 (Knipping and Dabdub, 2002). The wind patterns during the August 1987, September 1993, October 1995, and June 2008 field campaigns are similar – relatively calm winds during the night and early morning and steady on-shore winds midday. A comparison of wind speed and direction at three locations in the SoCAB is provided in Supplement Table 1. Conducting simulations using six different meteorological data sets created a range of predicted HFC-152a and HFC-134a mixing ratios that were compared to field measurements. The emission rate in the model was incrementally adjusted until the average mixing ratios from the six simulations matched the average mixing ratio measured during the ARCTAS-CARB flights in the LA area and SoCAB.

The UCI-CIT model spins up for five simulation days allowing the system to reach a steady diurnal cycle. The data presented here are from the last simulation day. In the simulations, it is assumed that HFC-152a and HFC-134a are emitted evenly across all urban cells at a constant rate every hour, while emissions from rural cells are zero. Separate sets of simulations were conducted to determine the emission rates in the LA area and SoCAB. As in the tracer method, simulation results from the LA area were extrapolated to LA County. The inflow concentrations for HFC-152a (9 pptv) and HFC-134a (46 pptv) were obtained from field measurements over the Pacific Ocean. As winds blow across the domain, “clean” air (at inflow concentrations) enters the domain while “polluted” air (at elevated concentrations due to emissions and transport) is removed downwind. During most of the simulations, clean air enters from the western edge of
the domain and polluted air leaves through the eastern edge of the domain.

In the simulations, the average hourly concentration of each species was calculated at each cell and vertical layer – for both HFCs, the concentration at \(80 \times 30 \times 5\) locations was calculated every hour. In order to find the average mixing ratio of species \(i\) in a cell \(k\) at hour \(h\) \((\bar{c}_{h,i,k})\) the weighted average of all five vertical layers was calculated, accounting for differences in air pressure and layer thickness (the details of how \(\bar{c}_{h,i,k}\) is calculated are included in the Supplement). The average concentration of species \(i\) across the LA area or SoCAB at a given hour was calculated by averaging \(\bar{c}_{h,i,k}\) over all cells in the area of interest,

\[
\bar{c}_{h,i,\text{area}} = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{k=1}^{N_c} \bar{c}_{h,i,k},
\]

where \(N_c\) is the total number of cells comprising the region of interest and the subscript area is either LAA or SoCAB.

In the simulations, the diurnal profile of HFC-152a and HFC-134a varies with elevation. For each hour the average concentration of species \(i\) across the LA area or SoCAB at a vertical layer \(j\) is,

\[
\bar{c}_{h,i,j} = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{k=1}^{N_c} c_{h,i,j,k}.
\]

Figure 9 shows the average concentration and 1-\(\sigma\) values of HFC-152a in the cells comprising the SoCAB for each layer (Fig. 9a–e) and the weighted average of all five layers (Fig. 9f) using the 9 September 1993 meteorological data set (see Supplement Fig. 3 for plots of HFC-134a in the SoCAB, and Supplement Figs. 4 and 5 for plots of HFC-152a and HFC-134a in the LA area). Similar results were obtained using the other five meteorological data sets. Model predictions were compared to field measurements from the ARCTAS-CARB flights, which are shown as black dots in Fig. 9.

At the lowest layer in the UCI-CIT model (0–38.5 m) the average concentration in the LA area and SoCAB was relatively high in the morning but decreased during the day as onshore winds increased and relatively clean ocean air blew into the region, pushing HFC-152a and HFC-134a eastward out of the SoCAB. In the late afternoon and evening the wind speed decreased, resulting in an accumulation of the HFCs. A similar trend was seen in the second layer (38.5–154 m). In the upper three layers of the model (layer 3 = 154–308 m; layer 4 = 308–671 m; layer 5 = 671–1100 m), vertical mixing transports the HFCs upward from the lower layers as the winds increased midday, resulting in elevated mixing ratios in the upper layers at that time. It should be noted that although the mixing ratio was highest at the ground layer and generally decreased with altitude, the overall diurnal pattern in a cell (averaging over all five layers) was determined primarily by the mixing ratio in the upper layers since those layers are significantly taller than the lower layers.

Figure 9 demonstrates that the UCI-CIT model was able to reproduce measurements from the ARCTAS-CARB flights well qualitatively – most measurements fall within a factor of two of the mean predictions. In order to compare the results from the UCI-CIT model to field measurements quantitatively, the average predicted concentration in the LA area and SoCAB during 08:00 a.m.–06:00 p.m. was determined by averaging the values of \(\bar{c}_{h,i,\text{LAA}}\) from \(h = 8\) to \(h = 17\), the time range in which the field measurements were collected,

\[
\bar{c}_{i,\text{area}} = \frac{1}{10h} \sum_{h=8}^{17} \bar{c}_{h,i,\text{LAA}}.
\]

The average measured mixing ratios in the LA area and SoCAB were determined in a similar manner as \(\bar{c}_{i,\text{area}}\) in Eq. (3). Air samples collected at altitudes between 0 m and 1100 m during the ARCTAS-CARB flights were sorted by elevation into five bins that are the same height as the layers in the UCI-CIT model. The average concentration in each bin was calculated and the total mixing ratio from 0 m to 1100 m was determined by taking a weighted average of all five layers, accounting for differences in air pressure and layer size.
Table 3. Average measured and predicted mixing ratios (in ppt) of HFC-152a and HFC-134a in the LA area and SoCAB (0–1100 m in elevation) during 08:00 a.m.–06:00 p.m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meteorology</th>
<th>LA area*</th>
<th>SoCAB*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[HFC-152a]</td>
<td>[HFC-134a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 27, 1987</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 28, 1987</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 8, 1993</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 9, 1993</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 18, 1995</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 19, 1995</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average of six simulations</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average of field measurements</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Landuse data from the United States Geological Survey. The fraction of urban cells in the LA area and SoCAB is 76% and 49%, respectively.

Table 3 lists the average measured and predicted mixing ratios of HFC-152a and HFC-134a in the LA area and SoCAB during 08:00 a.m.–06:00 p.m. Six different meteorological data sets were used in the UCI-CIT model. Although the value of $\tilde{c}_{i,\text{area}}$ is dependent upon meteorology, Table 3 shows that model predictions of the average concentration of HFC-152a and HFC-134a in the LA area and SoCAB for all six meteorological data sets vary less than 35% from the mean value.

The emission rate estimates were determined by incrementally adjusting the emission rate from urban cells in the model until the average $\tilde{c}_{i,\text{area}}$ from all six simulations was the same as the average mixing ratio in the LA area and SoCAB determined from field measurements. The urban emission rates for these two regions, $E_{\text{urban,\text{LAA}}}$ and $E_{\text{urban,\text{SoCAB}}}$, were multiplied by the fraction of urban cells in those regions to obtain the average emission rate in the LA area and SoCAB, $E_{\text{avg,\text{LAA}}}$ and $E_{\text{avg,\text{SoCAB}}}$. The average emission rate in LA County, $E_{\text{avg,\text{LAC}}}$, was calculated by multiplying $E_{\text{avg,\text{LAA}}}$ by the ratio of land area in LA County to the land area in the LA area (10,518 km$^2$/3700 km$^2$). The UCI-CIT model uses landuse data collected during the 1970s by the United States Geological Survey (USGS). Based on this data, the fraction of urban cells in the LA area and SoCAB is 76% and 49%, respectively. However, there has been considerable urban sprawl in the SoCAB since the 1970s. An alternate scenario was examined in which 100% of the cells in the SoCAB are classified as urban (all cells in the SoCAB emit at the same rate). The actual fraction of urban cells in the LA area and SoCAB is between 100% and the fraction provided by the USGS.

Emission rates for LA County and the SoCAB from the AQM method agree well with estimates from the tracer ratio method described in the previous section (Table 4). Using land-use data from the 1970s to determine which cells are classified as urban, the UCI-CIT model predicts LA County 2008 emissions of 0.72 ± 0.14 Gg and 1.26 ± 0.20 Gg for HFC-152a and HFC-134a, respectively. For the SoCAB, the model predicts emissions of 0.91 ± 0.20 Gg and 1.29 ± 0.21 Gg for HFC-152a and HFC-134a, respectively. For the scenario where all cells are classified as urban, the UCI-CIT model predicts LA County emissions of 0.65 ± 0.22 Gg and 1.12 ± 0.29 Gg for HFC-152a and HFC-134a, respectively. For the SoCAB, the model predicts emissions of 1.08 ± 0.20 Gg and 1.53 ± 0.21 Gg for HFC-152a and HFC-134a, respectively. The uncertainty in the above estimates is the standard deviation of the emissions predicted by the six meteorological fields (see Supplement Table 2 for the emission rate generated by each meteorological field). Emissions estimates obtained using the AQM method differ from estimates derived by the tracer ratio method by less than 25% for LA County and less than 45% for the SoCAB.

There are some limitations in using the AQM method to obtain estimates of HFC emission rates: (1) although field measurements were obtained over a large area at many different elevations, it is possible that a disproportionate number of measurements were gathered over locations that have relatively low or high mixing ratios. Non-representative sampling could lead to incorrect predicted emission rates since the emission rates in the model were adjusted until mixing ratios from simulations match measured mixing ratios. However, non-representative sampling would affect the tracer ratio method as well; (2) in the simulations, it was assumed that the HFC emission rates were constant for all urban cells at all times. The true emission rates are not constant in time and may vary significantly among urban cells. This constant emission rate assumption was made to compare emissions determined from the AQM method to emissions determined by the tracer ratio method, which uses the 24-h average CO emission rate in LA County. Both methods assume a constant emission rate during all hours of the day; (3) actual wind fields during the June 2008 field campaign were similar, but not exactly the same, as the wind fields during the August 1987, September 1993, and October 1995 field campaigns, which were used in the simulations. The wind speed...
Table 4. A comparison of emissions estimates (in Gg yr$^{-1}$) of HFC-152a and HFC-134a from this study and previous studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LA County</th>
<th>SoCAB</th>
<th>US</th>
<th>Global</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HFC-152a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracer Ratio Method*</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.22</td>
<td>32 ± 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQM Method**</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.22</td>
<td>91 ± 20</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stohl et al. (2009)a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greally et al. (2007)b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millet et al. (2009)c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.6 (5.7–9.7)***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HFC-134a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracer Ratio Method*</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.22</td>
<td>2.12 ± 0.28</td>
<td>43 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQM Method**</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.29</td>
<td>28 ± 15</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stohl et al. (2009)a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millet et al. (2009)c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27 (12–39)***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manning and Weiss (2007)d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43 (22–60)***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This study; ** left value from AQM method uses USGS land-use data from the 1970s. Right value assumes all cells are urban; *** values in parentheses are the minimum and maximum values reported in that study; * US Estimates are for 2006. Global estimates are for the 2005–2006 period; b estimates are for 2004; c estimates are for the 2004–2006 period; d estimates are for 2006.

During the June 2008 field campaign tends to be slightly higher than during the other three field campaigns, which has the effect of lowering predicted emission rates. If the wind is calmer, pollutants are removed from the SoCAB at a slower rate which lowers the emission rate needed to reproduce measured concentrations. However, although the June 2008 wind velocity is known for several locations in the SoCAB, the wind velocity at most locations is not known and would have to be estimated for most of the SoCAB, creating additional uncertainties in the predictions.

Despite these caveats, it appears that the emissions estimates from air quality simulations are credible since (1) the predicted emissions of HFC-152a and HFC-134a in LA County and the SoCAB determined from the AQM method are quite similar to estimates determined from the tracer ratio method and (2) most field measurements are within a factor of two of the mean concentration predicted in the LA area and SoCAB.

### 4 Conclusions

During the ARCTAS-CARB flights slightly elevated concentrations of many banned halocarbon species were observed over the SoCAB, including CFCs (Table 2). Much larger enhancements were observed for CFC replacements (HCFCs and HFCs), which demonstrates the strong influence that the Montreal Protocol and subsequent amendments has on reducing the use of potent ozone-depleting species. In the near future, it is expected that the HCFC enhancements in the SoCAB will decline as these species are phased-out in favor of HFCs and other species that have negligible ozone-depleting potential.

The issue of reducing GHG emissions is especially important in California as the state legislature passed legislation in 2006 that requires the CARB to develop policies to reduce California’s greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels by 2020. In order to fulfill this obligation, it is hoped that the results from this study can help CARB establish baseline emissions rates of potent GHG species, such as HFCs.

Two independent methods were employed to estimate the emissions of HFC-152a and HFC-134a from LA County and the SoCAB in 2008. The close agreement between the results lends credence to the emission estimates presented in this paper. Additionally, emissions estimates of the two HFCs in the US were derived by extrapolating the results from the tracer ratio method. For HFC-152a, the US emissions calculated in this work are higher than in previous studies, while the HFC-134a US emissions are in better agreement with previous studies.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/11/2655/2011/acp-11-2655-2011-supplement.pdf.
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